Journal of Communicable Diseases
Volume 57, Issue 3 - 2025, Pg. No. 1-5
Peer Reviewed & Open Access Journal

Research Article

A Study on the Spectrum of Fungal Pathogens Isolated in ICU patient: A Study from Tertiary Care Centre

Vandana Shankar[1], Mandarapu Roopalatha[2]

[1]Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology, Mansarovar Medical College, Bhopal
[2]Consultant Biochemist Pinnacle Hospital Flat no 402, Sai Sree Keshav Vihar, Besides Timpany School A gate CBM Compound Visakhapatnam

DOI: https://doi.org/10.24321/0019.5138.202561

I N F O

Corresponding Author:
Vandana Shankar, Department of Microbiology, Mansarovar Medical College, Bhopal
E-mail Id:
vandanashankar@yahoo.co.in
Orcid Id:
https://orcid.org/0009-0009-3577-8254

How to cite this article:
Shankar V, Roopalatha M. A Study on the Spectrum of Fungal Pathogens Isolated in ICU patient: A Study from Tertiary Care Centre. J Commun Dis. 2025;57(3):1-5.

Date of Submission: 2025-04-29
Date of Acceptance: 2025-09-04

A B S T R A C T

Introduction: Substance use during adolescence and young adulthood is a major public health concern, particularly for alcohol and opioids. Neurocognitive impairments related to substance use can adversely affect executive functioning and decision-making. This study compared cognitive functioning and demographic profiles of adolescents and young adults using alcohol or opioids with healthy controls.

Method: A comparative cross-sectional study was conducted with alcohol users (n = 36) and opioid users (n = 36), each matched with 36 healthy controls. Sociodemographic data including age, gender, education, socioeconomic status, marital status, and parental education were recorded. Cognitive performance was assessed using the Trail Making Test (Parts A and B) and the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST). Independent t-tests and chi-square tests were applied for analysis.

Results: Cases and controls did not differ significantly in age, gender, marital status, residency, or socioeconomic status. Substance users showed marked cognitive deficits compared to controls. Both alcohol and opioid users had significantly longer completion times on Trail Making Tests A and B ($p < 0.0001$). On WCST, cases completed fewer categories and demonstrated more total errors, perseverative errors, and perseverative responses (all $p < 0.0001$). Alcohol users additionally had significantly higher failures to maintain set, unlike opioid users.

Conclusion: Adolescents and young adults with alcohol and opioid use exhibited significant impairments in executive function, attention, and cognitive flexibility. Early detection and cognitive rehabilitation are essential to limit long-term neurocognitive consequences.

Keywords: Substance Use, Alcohol, Opioids, Neurocognition, Executive Function, Adolescents, Young Adults

Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has resulted in a global health crisis since its emergence in late 2019. Despite substantial progress in vaccine development, the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants such as Omicron has led to waning vaccine efficacy, making complete disease control an ongoing challenge.[1,2] While the majority of current infections are mild or non-fatal, a notable proportion of individuals continue to experience persistent or newly emerging symptoms beyond the acute phase. These manifestations—collectively termed 'Long COVID' (LC)—have been increasingly reported among both outpatient and hospitalisedpopulations.[3,4]

The prevalence of LC is estimated to range from 10% to 30%in non-hospitalisedpatients and up to 76% among those requiring hospitalisation.[4] Globally, over 100 million individuals are projected to be affected by LC, with significant socioeconomic consequences including reduced quality of life, work absenteeism, and loss of income, amounting to an estimated economic burden of $2.6–3.7 billion.[5] LC can affect multiple organ systems and may present with chronic fatigue, dysautonomia, and thrombotic, cardiovascular, or neuropsychiatric complications.[6]

Emerging evidence suggests that immune dysregulation plays a central role in the pathogenesis of LC. Patients exhibit persistent alterations in innate and adaptive immune responses, including depletion of B and T lymphocytes—especially CD4+ and CD8+ subsets—and elevated interferon expression (IFN-β, IFN-λ1), which may last several months after initial infection.[7,8] CD4+ T lymphocytes are known to mediate antifungal immunity via macrophage activation through IFN-γ production.[9] Therefore, prolonged CD4 lymphopenia may predispose recovered individuals to opportunistic fungal infections, as recently demonstrated in cases of cerebral cryptococcosis in non-HIV COVID-19 patients.[10]

During the pandemic, a notable surge in fungal co-infections such as mucormycosis, invasive candidiasis, aspergillosis, pneumocystosis, and cryptococcosis has been reported, particularly in patients with severe or critical COVID-19.[11–13] This trend has been more pronounced in settings with high corticosteroid use, immunomodulatory therapy, and prolonged ICU stays.[14] In the United States, hospitalisationdue to fungal infections rose by approximately 9% annually between 2019 and 2021.[15] Alarmingly, the mortality rate for patients with COVID-19-associated fungal infections reached 48.5%, compared to 12.3% in non-COVID-19 fungal infections.[16,17]

With this background, the present study was undertaken to analyse the spectrum of fungal pathogens isolated from clinical samples during the COVID-19 pandemic. The study aims to evaluate the prevalence and diversity of fungal species in a tertiary care setting, thereby contributing important epidemiological insights into fungal disease burden during COVID-19.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted over a period of one year during the COVID-19 pandemic. The study was carried out in the Department of Microbiology at a tertiary care hospital.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

All clinical samples received from both COVID-19 -positive and suspected patients that yielded fungal growth on culture during the study period were included. Duplicate isolates from the same patient and mixed bacterial-fungal cultures where fungal identification could not be established were excluded.

Sample Collection and Processing

A total of 804 clinical samples that showed microbial growth were included, of which 137 were identified as fungal isolates. The samples were collected from various clinical sources, including endotracheal (ET) secretions (n = 21), sputum (n = 34), bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) (n = 2), blood (n = 14), pus (n = 6), gastric lavage (n = 1), and urine (n = 59). All samples were processed according to standard microbiological techniques under biosafety level-2 precautions.

Fungal Identification

Fungal isolates were identified based on colony morphology, Gram staining, and biochemical reactions. Yeast species were further characterisedby the germ tube test and cornmeal agar morphology. Species-level identification was performed using automated systems and biochemical panels (excluding VITEK-specific mention as per scope).

Data Collection and Analysis

All patient details were anonymised, and relevant demographic and clinical information (age, sex, specimen type) wasrecorded from laboratory requisition forms. The data were compiled in Microsoft Excel, and descriptive statistics were performed. The distribution of fungal species across various specimen types was expressed in frequencies and percentages. By SPSS Version 25

Results

During the one-year study period, a total of 804 clinical isolates were identified, of which 137 (17.0%) were fungal pathogens. The remaining were bacterial isolates and were excluded from this analysis.

Fungal isolates were obtained from 137 patients, of whom 83 (60.6%) were male and 54 (39.4%) were female. The

ISSN: 0019-5138
DOI: https://doi.org/10.24321/0019.5138.202561

mean age of patients was 52.4 ± 16.3 years (range: 18–84 years). The majority of fungal infections were noted in the age group of 41–60 years (n = 58, 42.3%), followed by 61–80 years (n = 41, 29.9%). Patients aged ≤40 years constituted 26.3% (n = 36), and only 2 patients (1.5%) were aged over 80.

Out of the 137 fungal isolates, 86 (62.8%) were recovered from patients admitted to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU), while 51 (37.2%) were from patients in general wards or outpatient departments. The majority of ICU isolates were obtained from respiratory specimens such as endotracheal secretions and sputum, whereas non-ICU isolates were predominantly from urine and blood samples.

The clinical specimens from which fungi were isolated included urine (n = 59, 43.1%), sputum (n = 34, 24.8%), endotracheal secretions (n = 21, 15.3%), blood (n = 14, 10.2%), pus (n = 6, 4.4%), bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) (n = 2, 1.5%), and gastric lavage (n = 1, 0.7%) (Table 1).

A total of 13 different fungal species were isolated. *Candida albicans* was the most common isolate (n = 45, 32.8%), followed by *C. auris* (n = 31, 22.6%) and *C. tropicalis* (n = 23, 16.8%). Other species included *C. famata* (n = 14), *C. glabrata* (n = 4), *C. ciferrii* (n = 3), *C. parapsilosis* (n = 3), *C. rugosa* (n = 3), *C. krusei* (n = 3), *C. dubliniensis* (n = 1), *C. lipolytica* (n = 1), *C. koseri* (n = 1), *Cryptococcus laurentii* (n = 4), and *Trichomonas asahi* (n = 2) (Table 2).

Table 1.Specimen-wise Distribution of Fungal Isolates

n = 137

Specimen Type	Number of Isolates (n)	Percentage (%)
Urine	59	43.1%
Sputum	34	24.8%
Endotracheal Secretion	21	15.3%
Blood	14	10.2%
Pus	6	4.4%
Bronchoalveolar Lavage	2	1.5%
Gastric Lavage	1	0.7%
Total	137	100%

Table 2.Fungal Species Distribution

n = 137

Fungal Species	Number of Isolates (n)	Percentage (%)
Candida albicans	45	32.8%
Candida auris	31	22.6%
Candida tropicalis	23	16.8%
Candida famata	14	10.2%
Candida glabrata	4	2.9%
Candida ciferrii	3	2.2%
Candida parapsilosis	3	2.2%
Candida rugosa	3	2.2%
Candida krusei	3	2.2%
Candida dubliniensis	1	0.7%
Candida lipolytica	1	0.7%
Candida koseri	1	0.7%
Cryptococcus laurentii	4	2.9%
Trichomonas asahi	2	1.5%
Total	137	100%

ISSN: 0019-5138
DOI: https://doi.org/10.24321/0019.5138.202561

Antifungal susceptibility testing was available for the major Candida isolates (n = 124). Most *C. albicans* isolates were susceptible to fluconazole (84.4%) and amphotericin B (100%). However, a higher rate of resistance was observed in non-albicans Candida species, particularly *C. auris* and *C. glabrata*. Among *C. auris* isolates (n = 31), only 38.7% were susceptible to fluconazole, while amphotericin B retained activity in 93.5% of cases. Echinocandin resistance was noted in 2 isolates of *C. auris*. All isolates of *C. krusei* were intrinsically resistant to fluconazole, as expected. *Cryptococcus laurentii* isolates were uniformly sensitive to amphotericin B and flucytosine

Discussion

In our study, fungal pathogens constituted 17.0% (137/804) of all clinical isolates during the one-year period. The majority of these infections were observed in males (60.6%), with a mean patient age of 52.4 years. Notably, 42.3% of infections occurred in the 41–60-year age group.These findings align with previous studies indicating a higher susceptibility to fungal infections among middle-aged and older adults, particularly males. For instance, a study by Peman et al. reported a similar demographic distribution, highlighting the increased risk in these populations due to factors like comorbidities and immunosuppressive therapies.[18]

Our data revealed that 62.8% of fungal isolates were from ICU patients, underscoring the heightened risk of fungal infections in critically ill individuals. This is consistent with findings from a study conducted in the United States, where ICU patients with COVID-19 had a higher incidence of invasive fungal infections, including candidemia and aspergillosis, compared to non-ICU patients.[15] The increased prevalence in ICU settings can be attributed to factors such as prolonged hospitalisation, mechanical ventilation, and the use of broad-spectrum antibiotics and corticosteroids, which compromise the immune system and disrupt normal microbial flora.

Urine samples accounted for the highest number of fungal isolates (43.1%), followed by sputum (24.8%) and endotracheal secretions (15.3%). This distribution suggests a predominance of urinary tract and respiratory tract fungal infections among hospitalisedpatients. Similar patterns were observed in a study by White et al., where non-blood specimens, particularly from the respiratory and urinary tracts, were common sources of fungal isolates in COVID-19 patients.[19] The frequent use of indwelling catheters and ventilators in ICU settings likely contributes to this distribution.

Candida albicans was the most prevalent species in our study (32.8%), followed by *Candida auris* (22.6%) and *Candida tropicalis* (16.8%). The emergence of *C. auris* is particularly concerning due to its multidrug-resistant nature and association with nosocomial outbreaks. Our findings are in line with global reports highlighting the rise of *C. auris* infections during the COVID-19 pandemic. A review by Chowdhary et al. documented numerous *C. auris* outbreaks in COVID-19 units worldwide, emphasisingthe pathogen's ability to persist in healthcare environments and its resistance to multiple antifungal agents.[20]

Antifungal susceptibility testing in our study showed that most *C. albicans* isolates were susceptible to fluconazole (84.4%) and amphotericin B (100%). In contrast, *C. auris* exhibited significant resistance, with only 38.7% susceptibility to fluconazole and 93.5% to amphotericin B. Echinocandin resistance was noted in two *C. auris* isolates. These resistance patterns are consistent with global trends. The CDC has reported increasing resistance of *C. auris* to all major classes of antifungals, complicating treatment options and leading to higher mortality rates. The emergence of echinocandin-resistant strains further exacerbates the challenge, necessitating vigilant antifungal stewardship and infection control measures.[21]

Conclusion

This study highlights the substantial burden of fungal infections during the COVID-19 pandemic, with fungal isolates comprising 17% of all clinical specimens. The majority of infections occurred in middle-aged to elderly patients, predominantly in males and those admitted to intensive care units. Urine and respiratory samples were the most common sources of fungal isolation, reflecting a high prevalence of urinary and pulmonary fungal infections in hospitalisedand ventilated patients.

Candida albicans remained the most frequently isolated species; however, a considerable proportion of infections were due to non-*albicans* Candida species, notably *Candida auris* and *Candida tropicalis*. The emergence of *C. auris* as a significant pathogen, with high resistance to fluconazole and reduced susceptibility to echinocandins, underscores the growing challenge of antifungal resistance in healthcare settings.

The findings emphasisethe importance of routine fungal surveillance, early identification, and species-level antifungal susceptibility testing, especially in critically ill patients during pandemics. Strict infection control measures and antifungal stewardship programmesare essential to prevent the spread of multidrug-resistant fungal pathogens and reduce associated morbidity and mortality.

Author's Contribution: V S: Conceptualized and designed the study; supervised sample collection, microbiological processing, and fungal identification; performed data interpretation; and critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. M R: Assisted in study design and methodology; contributed to data collection, statistical analysis, and interpretation; and drafted the initial manuscript.

ISSN: 0019-5138
DOI: https://doi.org/10.24321/0019.5138.202561

Conflict of Interest: None

Source of Funding: None

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Technologies in the Writing Process: None

References

1. Patalon T, Saciuk Y, Peretz A, Perez G, Lurie Y, Maor Y, Gazit S. Waning effectiveness of the third dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine. Nature Communications. 2022 Jun 9;13(1):3203. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
2. Ai J, Zhang H, Zhang Y, Lin K, Zhang Y, Wu J, Wan Y, Huang Y, Song J, Fu Z, Wang H. Omicron variant showed lower neutralizing sensitivity than other SARS-CoV-2 variants to immune sera elicited by vaccines after boost. Emerging microbes & infections. 2022 Dec 31;11(1):337-43. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
3. Carfi A, Bernabei R, Landi F. Persistent symptoms in patients after acute COVID-19. Jama. 2020 Aug 11;324(6):603-5. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
4. Tenforde MW. Characteristics of adult outpatients and inpatients with COVID-19—11 academic medical centers, United States, March–May 2020. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report. 2020;69. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
5. Cutler DM, Summers LH. The COVID-19 pandemic and the $16 trillion virus. Jama. 2020 Oct 20;324(15):1495-6. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
6. Davis HE, McCorkell L, Vogel JM, Topol EJ. Long COVID: major findings, mechanisms and recommendations. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2023 Mar;21(3):133-46. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
7. Phetsouphanh C, Darley DR, Wilson DB, Howe A, Munier CM, Patel SK, Juno JA, Burrell LM, Kent SJ, Dore GJ, Kelleher AD. Immunological dysfunction persists for 8 months following initial mild-to-moderate SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nature immunology. 2022 Feb;23(2):210-6. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
8. Ulloque-Badaracco JR, Ivan Salas-Tello W, Al-kassab-Córdova A, Alarcón-Braga EA, Benites-Zapata VA, Maguiña JL, Hernandez AV. Prognostic value of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in COVID-19 patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Clinical Practice. 2021 Nov;75(11):e14596. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
9. Chen Y, Shi ZW, Strickland AB, Shi M. Cryptococcus neoformans infection in the central nervous system: the battle between host and pathogen. Journal of Fungi. 2022 Oct 12;8(10):1069. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
10. Huamani-Córdova JM, Hueda-Zavaleta M, Vargas-Bellina V, Simbron-Ribbeck L, Chong-Chinchay KD, Gómez de la Torre JC, Benítes-Zapata VA. Cerebral cryptococcosis associated with CD4+ T-lymphocytopenia in non-HIV patients after SARS-CoV-2 infection: case series in a specialized institute in Lima, Peru. Tropical Medicine and Infectious Disease. 2023 Mar 22;8(3):182. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
11. Pemán J, Ruiz-Gaitán A, García-Vidal C, Salavert M, Ramírez P, Puchades F, García-Hita M, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Quindós G. Fungal co-infection in COVID-19 patients: Should we be concerned?. Revista iberoamericana de micologia. 2020 Apr 1;37(2):41-6. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
12. Bartoletti M, Pascale R, Cricca M, Rinaldi M, Maccaro A, Bussini L, Fornaro G, Tonetti T, Pizzilli G, Francalanci E, Giuntoli L. Epidemiology of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis among intubated patients with COVID-19: a prospective study. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2021 Dec 1;73(11):e3606-14. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
13. Narayanan S, Chua JV, Baddley JW. Coronavirus disease 2019–associated mucormycosis: risk factors and mechanisms of disease. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2022 Apr 1;74(7):1279-83. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
14. Singh A, Kaur A, Chowdhary A. Fungal pathogens and COVID-19. Current Opinion in Microbiology. 2023 Oct 1;75:102365. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
15. Gold JA, Adjei S, Gundlapalli AV, Huang YL, Chiller T, Benedict K, Toda M. Increased hospitalizations involving fungal infections during COVID-19 pandemic, United States, January 2020–December 2021. Emerging infectious diseases. 2023 Jul;29(7):1433. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
16. Harris E. Patients with COVID-19 and fungal infections had high mortality rates. JAMA. 2023 Jul 18;330(3):210-1. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
17. Tanne JH. Fungal infections are especially dangerous for covid-19 patients, CDC study warns. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
18. Pemán J, Ruiz-Gaitán A, García-Vidal C, Salavert M, Ramírez P, Puchades F, García-Hita M, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Quindós G. Fungal co-infection in COVID-19 patients: Should we be concerned?. Revista iberoamericana de micologia. 2020 Apr 1;37(2):41-6. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
19. White PL, Dhillon R, Cordey A, Hughes H, Faggian F, Soni S, Pandey M, Whitaker H, May A, Morgan M, Wise MP. A national strategy to diagnose coronavirus disease 2019–associated invasive fungal disease in the intensive care unit. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2021 Oct 1;73(7):e1634-44. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
20. Chowdhary A, Sharma C, Meis JF. Candida auris: a rapidly emerging cause of hospital-acquired multidrug-resistant fungal infections globally. PLOS pathogens. 2017 May 18;13(5):e1006290. [Google Scholar] [Pubmed]
21. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Candida auris: Antifungal resistance. https://www.cdc.gov/fungal/candida-auris/c-auris-antifungal.html

ISSN: 0019-5138
DOI: https://doi.org/10.24321/0019.5138.202561