# Title: Rabies in canines with special reference to wild animals

# Author: S. Roy1, B. Rooplai2, M. Roy1

- 1. College of Veterinary Science & A.H., Anjora Durg, Chhattisgarh.
- 2. College of Veterinary Science & A.H., Bidar (Karnataka)

Keywords Dog bite, Cell-culture Anti rabies vaccine, Adverse effects.

# Abstract

Rabies is one of the oldest zoonotic disease which continues to pose a significant threat to animals and humans in most parts of the world affecting the central nervous system. Rabies otherwise 'rabere' in Latin means 'to be mad.' The disease is known since the advent of civilization. The first official documentation of rabies appeared in the pre-mosaic Eshmuna code of Babylon in the twenty-third century BC. However, it was Louis Pasteur in 1880's who identified a virus as the cause of the disease. Though rabies is a preventable viral zoonosis by vaccines still it remains an important public health issue in the developing countries which is evident from the fact that globally this devasting disease is responsible for more than 60,000 human deaths, while approximately 15 million people receive rabies post exposure prophylaxis (PEP) annually (Dietzschold et al. 2003; Kuzmin et al. 2005; Wilde et al. 2013). Despite of global vast attempt and implementation of extensive control schemes and public health awareness programmes, still over 95% of the mortality happens in Asia and Africa, where canine rabies is enzootic (WHO 2016). In India, about 20,000 human deaths occur each year by the bite of rabid dog (Sudarshan et al. 2006). Rabies in human always occurs as fatal disease inspite of advanced therapeutic measures. Based on severity of mortality in humans, rabies stays in seventh position among the infectious diseases present in the globe (Wyatt 2007).

# SPECIAL ARTICLE

# Rabies in canines with special reference to wild animals

### S. Roy', B. Rooplai', M. Roy'

<sup>3</sup> College of Veterinary Science & A.H., Anjora Durg, Chhattisgarh

\*College of Veterinary Science & A.H., Bidar (Karnataka)

#### Introduction

Rables is one of the oldest zoonotic disease which continues to pose a significant threat to animals and humans in most parts of the world affecting the central nervous system. Rables otherwise 'rabere' in Latin means 'to be mad.' The disease is known since the advent of civilization. The first official documentation of rables appeared in the pre-mosaic Eshmuna code of Babyton in the twenty-third century BC. However, it was Louis Pasteur in 1880's who identified a virus as the cause of the disease. Though rables is a preventable viral zoonosis by vaccines still it remains an important public health issue in the developing countries which is evident from the fact that globally this devasting disease is responsible for more than 80,000 human deaths, while approximately 15 million people receive rables post exposure prophylaxis (PEP) annually (Distatechold et al. 2003; Kuzmin et al. 2005; Wilde et al. 2013). Despite of global vast attempt and implementation of extensive control achieves and public health awareness programmes, still over 95% of the mortality happens in Asia and Africa, where canine rables is enzootic (WHO 2016). In India, about 20,000 human deaths cour each year by the bite of rabid dog (Sudarshan et al. 2008). Rables in human always occurs as that disease inspite of advanced therapeutic measures. Based on severity of mortality inhumans, rables stays in seventh position among the infectious diseases present in the globe (Wyatt 2007).

Globally, information are increasing on the roles of wild animals in the epidemiology of rabies particularly in the developing world, for instance, rables transmission risks and its link with wild animals have been enumerated by Caron et al. (2013). Hanion (2006) in their epidemiological survey observed the limitations associated with rables control given the compounded factors associated with wildlife and its ecology. They recommended a multiagency approach in the management and understanding the epidemiology of rables and wildlife. The team also pointed out some constrains on available and feasible control methods compared with the broad range of public attitude and engagement in wildlife ventures end recreational activities and suggested that the control of wildlife rables should be multiagency and multidisciplinary (Hanion et al., 2005). Other scientists emphasized the fact that rables have a multi-hosts and multiinfections scope with significant impacts on human efforts are difficult for the management and control of rables in domesticated particularly in the wildlife (Martin et al., 2011).

Sylvatic zoonosis incude all those diseases having reservoirs among both in wild or farai animals. Free living and captive Status of sylvatic rables in India is alarming and the disease has been recorded in zoo animals (Pandit, 1950). Study of epidemiology of sylvatic rables in India revealed that deer, camel, bear, elephant, fox, hyena, jackal, lion, monkeys, panther, leopard, tiger, zebra etc were the animals suspected to transmit the rables to human. Wolves and hyenas were considered as most dangerous wild animals as per as human fatalities are concern (Joshi, 1991)

#### Etiology and Epidemiology

Rabies in mammals is fatal due to involvement of nervous system (NS) and is caused by a neurotropic, negative sense, nonsegmented, single-stranded RNA virus that belongs to the Lyssa virus genus of the Rhabdovindae family and Mononegavirale order (Madhusudana et al. 2012). The interesting feature of the lyssa virus is presence of seven distinct genotypes. The rabies virus (RABV) genome is approx. 12 kb size, which carries five structural proteins namely, nucleo protein (N), phosphoprotein (P), matrix protein (M), glycoprotein (G) and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (L)(Albertini et al. 2011). The RABV genome composed of N, P and L proteins, which forms ribonucleoprotein complex that helps in multiplication of virus in the cytoplasm of host cells. The G protein of the RABV is alone expressed on the viral surface, which is

Received : 14.04.2022 Accepted : 28.05.2022 28 Revised: 12.05.2022

Published: 30.06.2022

APCRI Journal ISSN 0973-5038

responsible for the viral pathogenicity, and induces protective immunity against rabies (Albertini et al. 2011; Zhu and Guo 2016). However, still the chance of increase in the number of these viruses is possible with more widespreed and intensive sampling. It specifically causes acute encephalomyetitis affecting primarily carnivores and bats but has got the capability to affect all warm-blooded animals including humans as well as a wide variety of wildlife species that act as reservoirs for infaction predominantly and it influences the population dynamics accordingly (Rupprecht and Gibbons 2004). Significance of rabies les in the facts that it is mostly fatal with no specific antivirol treatment and is distributed globally (Greene, 2006). In Asia and Africa, the disease raises a burning public health issues. In the Asian subcordinent, it is predominantly high in Bangladesh and india followed by Nepal. Myanmar, Bhutan, Thailand and Indonesia, wherein it is prevalent moderately. Its prevalence has been documented from 20% to 50% in different species of domestic animals. Wild animals accounted for 92.7% of reported cases of rabies in 2018. Bats were the most frequently reported rabid wildlife species (33% of all animal cases during 2018), followed by raccoons (30.3%), skunks (20.3%), and toxes (7.2%). RABV is maintained in most parts of the world by mesocarnivores (animals whose diet consists of 50–70% meet), including dogs, foxes, raccoon dogs, faccoons, mongcoses and skurks (CDC, 2020).

The susceptibility of animals varies greatly depending upon the animal species, genetic makeup, animal's age, strain, biotype or dose of the virus and exposure routs. Workhvide rabies is endemic, which is a major concern but in countries like USA control programmes have facilitated the process of reducing the number of cases (Sudamhan et al. 2006; WHO 2016). In many developing countries, mortality in humans due to rabies infection are low because of under-reporting, cultural beliefs, poor or inadequate rabies diagnostic units and poor knowledge on the mode of transmission and prevention of the disease. Under-reporting of rabies in endemic developing countries has resulted in the disease being ignored by medical professionals and subsequently poor assistance from international community and donor agencies (Ototorin et al. 2015).

## Reservoirs of Rables virus

#### Bats:

Rables virus transmitted to human are increasingly being done by bats, over 140 variants have been identified in insectivorous bats. Transmission of rables virus can occur from bat bits that may be smalland insignificant (CDC, 2008). Due to this man and other animals' contact with bats should be limited; they should only be handled by trained and vaccinated persons and should not be kept as pets (CDC, 2008). Terrestrial animals inflict more visible wounds from bites compared to bats which make the assessment of the risk of contracting rables from bat encounter to be difficult (Artois et al., 2011). Any animal bitten or scretched by bat should be regarded as exposed (CDC, 2010).

#### Wildterrestrial carnivores:

Wildlife apecies of special concern in Nigeria include Jackals and mongooses (Dzikwi et al., 2010). Wildlife such as several apecies of bats, coyotes, foxes racoons and akunks account for the occurrence of most of the rabies cases in USA. The clinical signs exhibited by wildlife are not well documented therefore scratches and bites from wildlife and their crosses should be taken as possible rabies exposure (CDC, 2008).

#### Other wild animals;

Squinels, chipmunks, rats, mice, hamsters, guinea pigs, gerbils, rabbits and hares are not usually infected with rabies (Wulet, al., 2009). Crosses of wildlife and other domestic animals are regarded as wild animals (NASPHV, 2007). Due to the fact that the duration of time of shedding of rabies virus in wild animal crosses is unknown their bite in human should be followed by rabies testing of the hybrid animal, euthanasia or vaccination which should be discontinued if the animals involved are not positive for rabies (CDC, 2008).

#### Transmission

The disease is nearly always caused by the bite of an infected animal that has rables virus in its saliva. Other modes of transmission are infrequently involved in infections of the dog and cat but may serveto maintain infaction in wild animal. Transmission from exhaled or excreted virus has been suggested for spread between animals in extremely large colonies of cave-dwelling bats and by infections after laboratory exposures. Such air borne infections probably inrolve large quantities of aerosolized virus under conditions of poor ventilation and a susceptible exposed host. Rables can occasionally result from ingesting infected tissue or secretions. Suspected transplacental rables infections in skunks and bats, have been reported. Environmental transmission by formites is rarely, if ever, involved. Human rables is usually caused by a bite, but it has been acquired by corneal transplantation also. Infections with salivary shedding of virus before obvious clinical signs have been observed, and thus the absence of dramatic neurologic abnormalities cannot be used to rule out absolutely the possibility of rables infection (Greene, 2006).

#### **Clinical signs in canines**

Rables virus infection has classically been divided into two major types: furious and paralytic. The classification and progression of infection is artificial because rables can be quite variable in its presentation, and atypical signs are commonly seen. Not all animals progress through all the clinical stages. The initial history may reveal that the pet has a wound history. Because of the severity of wounds, signs may not always be suspected as coming from abite.

During the prodromal phase, which usually lasts 2 to 3 days, approhension, nervousness, anxiety, solitude, and variable fever maybe noted. Friendly animals may become shy or irritable and may snap, whereas fractious ones may become more docile and affectionate. Pupiliary dilation with or without sluggish palpebral or comeal refexes may become apparent. Most animals will constantly lick the site of viral inoculation. Some dogs may develop pruritus at the site of exposure and claw and chew at the area until it is ulcerated. The behavior of cats during the prodromal period is similar; however, cats more typically show. fever spikes and unusual orerratic behavior for only 1 or 2 days. The furious or psychotic type of the disease in dogs usually lasts for 1 to 7 days and is associated with forebrain involvement. Animals become restless and initiable and have increased responses to auditory and visual stimuli. They frequently become excitable, photophobic, and hyperesthetic and bark or snap. at imaginary objects. As they become more restless, they begin to roam, usually becoming more imitable and vicious. Dogs may eat unusual objects (pica), especially wood, that become gastrointestinal foreign bodies. They may avoid contact with people and refer to hide in dark or quiet places. When caged or confined, dogs oft en try to bite or attack their enclosure. They usually develop muscular in-coordination, disorientation, or generalized grand mal seizures during this phase. If they do not die during a seizure, they may experience a short paralytic stage and then die. Cats can develop more consistently the furious phase of the disease, showing ematic and unusual behavior. These cats are described as having anxious, staring, wild, spooky, or blank looks in their eyes. When confined in cages, they may make vicious, shiking movements and attempt to bite or scratch at moving objects, in addition, they may have muscular tremors and weakness or in poordination. Some cats may run continuously until they seem to die of exhaustion. The paralytic or dumb type of rabies usually develops within 2 to 4 days (range, 1 to 10 days) after the first clinical signs are noted. LMN paralysis usually progresses from the site of injury until the entire CNS is involved. Cranial nerve paralysis may be the first recognizable clinical syndrome if the bite occurs on the face. When the brain stem becomes affected, a change in the tone of the bark, resulting from lanyngeal paralysis, may be observed. Dogs, which more commonly show this type of disease, may begin to salivate or froth excessively as a result of the inability to swallow and the deep labored respiration that occurs. A "dropped jaw" develops as a result of paralysis of the masticatory muscles. Dogs may make a choking sound, which convinces an owner that something is caught in the animal's throat. Owners or veterinarians may then become exposed to the virus in the saliva while attempting to remove a suspected foreign object. The course of the paralytic phase usually lasts 2 to 4 days. The animal often goes into a coma and dies of respiratory failure. The paralytic disease in cats often follows the furious form of the disease and begins around day 5 of clinical illness. Although the total course of illness may last 10 days, rabid cats often die after 3 to 4days, 295 As in dogs, mitial paralysis of the bitten extremity can progress to paraparesis, in coordination, and ascending or generalized paralysis, terminating in coma and death. Mandbular and laryngeal paralysis is less common in cats. Increased frequency of vocalization is a commonly reported sign in cats, and owners often recognize a change in the pitch of the cat's voice. Cats occasionally develop the paralytic form directly after the prodromal phase with few or no signs of excitement (Greene, 2006).

#### Diagnosis

Diagnosis of wild animals is problematic for specialists because the initial clinical signs of rables are non-specific. No reliable antemortom methods are available to diagnose rables during the incubation period. Clinical signs are good indication for rables in small animals. However rapid and accurate laboratory diagnosis for animal rables is important for confirmation. In addition, many animals may not show typical signs of rables. Usually rable or suspected rable wild animals are road kill or otherwise deceased when brought into diagnostic laboratories. Laboratory diagnosis is very important because it provides not only data for epidemiological investigation of animal rables, but also guidance for initiation of PEP in affected people. (CDC, 2003)

#### Direct florescent antibody assay (dFA)

The most frequently used method for rabies diagnosis in the laboratory is dFA (Dean and Ableseth, 1973; Dean et al. 1966) Usually, brain smears or brain imprints from rabid or suspected rabid animals are reacted with fluoresce in isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated-anti-rabies N antibodies (Trimarchi and Debbie, 1972). When observed under a fluorescent microscope, the green-fluorescent foci will show the rabies virus antigen. dFA is rapid, economical, and sensitive for laboratory diagnosis of animal rabies. Rabies antigens can be detected by the specific antibody; however, they should be differentiated from the non-specific background.

#### Direct rapid immunohistochemistry test (dRIT)

Recently, the CDC developed the dRIT, which is similar to dFA. Brain smears or imprints on glass slides are fixed with 10% buffered formalin. According to standard immunohistochemical staining, the virus antigen can be detected by anti-rabies N monoclonal antibody and examined under a light microscope. The sensitivity and specificity of dRIT is equivalent to that of the dFA. (Lembo et al., 2006)

## Virus Isolation

Mouse inoculation is a world health organization (WHO) recommended method to confirm dFA when the result is negative. Usually, brain suspension or spinal fluid from rabid or suspected rabid animals is intracerebraily inoculated into mouse brain. Two mice are killed every 2 days post infection until day 20 and brain smears are subjected to dFA. The 50% mouse intracerebrai lethal dose (MICLD50) can be calculated. Virus isolation can also be performed in cell culture, usually on neuroblastoma cells. The 50% tissue culture infective dose (TCID50) can be calculated. Cell culture inoculation is as sensitive as the mouse inoculation test and it requires less time to obtain results (Reed and Muench, 1938; Koprowski, 1973; Webster etal., 1976).

#### Reverse transcriptase Polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

RT-PCR is a newly developed method for rables diagnosis. RT-PCR is very useful when sample size is small such as salival and spinsi fluid. Viral RNA is amplified by RT-PCR with primers usually designed from the Nigene, the most conserved gene in tables virus. RT-PCR for rables diagnosis is as rapid as dFA and is as sensitive as mouse inoculation test. RT-PCR is also widely used in epidemiological investigation and outbreak studies. When combined with sequencing, this method can also be used to differentiate rables virus variants from multiple species of animals Viral variants can also be differentiated with different monoclonal antibodies in an indirect fluorescent antibody assay (Sacramento et al., 1991).

#### Histopathology and Immunohistochemistry

Rebies diagnosis in small animals can also be performed on brain tissues by histopathology and immunohistochemistry. Histopathology may show lymphocytic inflammation, perivascular cuffing, gliosis, and neurodegeneration. Inflammation is diffuse in neuraxis. The parenchymal glial response is at first microglial but later mixed with astrocytes. Neurondegeneration is often not severe. The severity of inflammation may vary between animal species. Sometimes, a spongform encephalopathy with vacuatation in the gray matter can be observed. Negri bodies which are ovoid ecsimophilic intracytoplasmic inclusions are a hallmark for rabies diagnosis. Yet Negri bodies are not found in all rabies cases (Butts et al., 1984).

#### Detection of rables virus-specific antibodies

Detection of specific antibodies can be used as diagnostic tools for rables. There are many methods that have been developed to detect rables-specific antibodies. Rapid fluorescent focus inhibition test (RFFIT) is the method used most often. to detect virus neutralizing antibodies. ELISA has also been used to detect virus-specific antibodies when the ELISA plate is obtated with rables virus antigens. Since antibodies take several days to develop, this method is rarely used in clagnosis of animal rables. Rather detection of virus-specific antibodies is often used in vaccination studies (Budzko et al., 1983; Mebatsion et al., 1989 and Mebatsion et al., 1992).

#### Control

An effective strategy for preventing rables consists of controlling rables in the host reservoir with vaccination. Rables vaccine has proven to be the most effective weapon for coping with this fatal viral zoonotic disease of warm-blooded animals, including human. The rables vaccines in use presently protect against many Lyssa viruses with studies showing that it will protect against all known rables strains (Carter and Wise, 2005). Vaccines have been used successfully against rables all over the world conferring about 3 years immunity. The threshold immunity is antiboby level of 0.5 IU/mL and above though protection have not been fully equated to antibody response (Wilsmore et al., 2006; WHO, 2010). Animal management is the keystone of any modern programme for the prevention and control of rables. Historically, "animal control" for local elimination of disease was largely equated with population reduction. However, with relatively few exceptions, culling alone has not led to effective control of rables. Globally, the greatest burden on human health that is attributable to this zoonosis is caused by uncontrolled rables of control measures (e.g. stray animal removal and mandatory parenteral vaccination), rables have been significantly suppressed and even eliminated over large geographical areas. While control achies have traditionally for uses individually of bats and destruction of roosts was once the norm, but such activities are not sanctioned by reputation today. Even vample bats, responsible for substantial effects on health and agricultural losses in the New World (Mexico to Argentina).

should be targeted only by specific control applications, rather than by more widespread, unconventional, non-specific methodology, Bats should be excluded from human living quarters. Implementing measures to prevent bats from gaining access to homes should occur at an appropriate time when the bats are absent, especially to avoid sealing the non-flying young within a building. Although great progress has been made during the past four decades in the induction of herd immunity among free-ranging carnivores via oral vaccination against rables, similar novel solutions have not been readily applied to bel populations. Given these challenges, new paradigm shifts are eagerly anticipated as additional biotechnological applications are developed to deal with domestic animals and wild life. Vaccination of domestic pets and livestock provides an added layer of protection. Finally, oral rables vaccination (ORV) of wildlife limits and prevents the spread of rables virus among terrestrial meso-carnivore populations and reduces risks of spill-over intections into domestic animal. and human populations (NASPHV, 2016). Prior to ORV development, wildlife rables control measures consisted largely of eliminating or reducing reservoir wildlife populations through localized and targeted hunting, trapping, (Resatte et al., 1992). However, these methods became controversial in some areas due to animal rights concerns and perceived negative impacts on biodiversity. Further, these approaches are labor intensive, may only control small-scale outbreaks, and in some instances were ecologically and economically question-able (Aubert, 1994). A more efficient and cost effective wildlife rables control strategy was needed. Onal immunization of wildlife reservoirs was first considered as a potential approach to rables control in the 1970s after genetic manipulation of rables viruses under laboratory conditions yielded less virulent forms, Later biotechnology advances produced a recombinant vaccinia vector expressing the rabies virus glycoprotein gene (Esposito et al., 1987). An international collaboration of scientists leveraged these developments as they searched to find an efficient and cost-effective wildlife rables control approach in the United States of America (USA) (Baer, 1975) and in Europe (Steck et al., 1962). Early work focused on balt delivery to caged wildlife (Winkler and Baer, 1976) and the first ORV feld trial occurred in October 1978 in Switzerland using an attenuated rables virus vaccine derived from the Street Alabama Duferin (SAD) strain Inserted in chicken head-balts. Afterwards, large-scale ORV feld trials targeting foxes were conducted in multiple European countries to control endemic fox rables using a SAD-derived attenuated rables vaccine ("standard" or SAD-819 strain) (Wandeler et al., 1988).

Control of rabies in the wild animals reservoir particularly free living is very difficult, since no proper data exist on the immunogenicity and safety of anti rabid vaccines for wild animals, the proper selection of a vaccine is very important.

#### References

- Albertini AA, Ruigrok RW, Blandei D. 2011. Rables virus transcription and replication. Adv Virus Res. 79:1–2.
- Actors M, Blancou J, Dupeyroux O, Gilot-Fromont E (2011). Sustainable control of zoonotic pathogens in wildlife: how to be fair to wild animals? Revue Scientifique et Technique, 30(3): 733-743.
- AubertM (1994) Control of rables in foxes: what are the appropriate measures? VetRec 134:55–59
- Baer GM (1975) Wildlife vaccination. In: Baer GM (ed) The natural history of rables, vol 2. Academic Press, New York.
- Budzko DB, Charamella I, J, Jelinek D, et al. Rapid test for detection of rables antibodies in human serum. J Clin Microbiol. 1983;17:481.
- Butts JD, Bouldin TW, Walker DH. Morphological characteristics of a unique intracytoplasmic neuronal inclusion body. Acta Neuropathol (Berl) 1984;62:345.
- Caron A, Miguel E, Gomo C, MakayaP, Pfukenyi DM, Foggin C (2013). Relationship between burden of infection in ungulate populations and wildlife/livestock interfaces. Epidemiology and infection, 141(7): 1522-1523.
- Carter GR, Wae DJ (2005), Rhaboviridae. In: A Concise Review of Veterinary Virology, Carler GR, Wise DJ (Edn.). International Veterinary Information Service, Ithaca NY.
- CDC (2008). Human Ratiles Prevention United States, Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. Montridity Mortality Weekly Report. 57: 205-210.
- CDC (2010), Wildlife Rables in Florida. Morbidity Mortality Weekly Report, 157: 285-210.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases 2020.
- CDC. First human death associated with raccoon rabies—Virginia, 2003. MMWR Morb Mortal Wely Rep. 2003;52:1102.
- Dean DJ. Ableseth MK. Laboratory techniques in rabies. World Health Organization, Monogr Ser, 1973. [Pub Med]
- Dean DJ. Ableseth MK. Atanasiu P. Laboratory techniques in rables. ed.4th. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1988.
- Dietzschold B, Faber M, Schnel MJ. 2003. New approaches to the prevention and eradication of rables. Expert Rev

Vaccines. 2:399-406.

- Dzikwi AA, Umoh JU, Kwaga JKP, Ahmad AA (2010). Serological surveillance for non-rables lyssaviruses among apparently healthy dogs in Zaria, Nigeria, Nigerian Veterinary Medical Journal, 31: 214-218
- Esposito J, Brechling K, Baer G, Moss B (1987) Vaccinia virus recombinants expressing rables virus glycoprotein protect against rables. Virus Genes 1:7–21
- Greene CE, Rupprecht CE. 2006. Rabies and other lyssavirus infections. In: Greene CE, editor. Infectious diseases of the dog and cat. St Louis: Elsevier Saunders; p. 167–183
- Hanlon C (2005) Human Rables Prevention. CDC Clinician Outreach & Communication Activity Clinician Briefing. March 22, 2005.
- Hanlon CA, Kuzmin IV, Blanton JD, Weldon WC, Manangen JS, Rupprecht CE (2005). Efficacy of rables biologics against new lyssaviruses from Eurasia. Virus Research, 111: 44-54.
- Joshi, B.P. 1991, Wild animal Medicine. Oxford and IBH publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi.
- Koprowski H. Laboratory techniques in rabies: the mouse inocutation test. Monogr Ser World Health Organ, 1973.
- Kuzmin IV, Hughes GJ, Botvinkin AD, Orciarl LA, Rupprecht CE. 2005. Phylogenetic relationships of Irkut and West Caucasian bat viruses within the Lyssavirus genus and suggested quantitative criteria based on the N gene sequence for lyssavirus genotype definition. Virus Res. 111:26–43.
- Lembo T, Niezgoda M, Velasco-Villa A, et al. Evaluation of a direct, rapid immunohistochemical test for rables diagnosis. Emerg Infect Dis. 2006;12:310
- Madhusudana SN, Subha S, Thankappan U, Ashwin YB. 2012. Evaluation of a direct rapid immunohistochemical test. (dRIT) for rapid diagnosis of rabies in animals and humans. Virol Sin. 27:299–302.
- Martin C, Pastoret PP, Brochier B, Humblet MF, Saegerman C (2011). A survey of the transmission of infectious diseases/infections between wild and domestic ungulates in Europe. Veterinary Research, 42:70-75.
- Mebatsion T, Frost JW, Krauss H. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using staphylococcal protein A for the measurement of rabies antibody in various species. Zentralb/Veterinarmed B, 1989;36:532.
- Mebatsion T, Sillero-Zubiri C, Gottelli D, et al. Detection of rabies antibody by ELISA and RFFIT in unvaccinated dogs and in the endangered Simien jackal (Canis simensis) of Ethiopia. Zentrabl Veterinarmed B. 1992;39:233.
- National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians (NASPHV) (2007). Compendium of animal rables prevention and control, 2006. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association, 230: 833-840.
- National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians, Compendium of Animal Rables Prevention and Control Committee, Brown CM, Sally Slavinski S, Ettestad P, Sidwa TJ, Sorhage FE (2016) Compen- dium of animal rables prevention and control. JAm/VetMedAssoc 248:505–517
- Otolorin GR, Alyedun JO, Mshelbwala PP, Arreh VO, Dzikwi AA, Dipeolu MA, Danjuma FA. 2015. A review on human deaths associated with rabies in Nigeria. J Vaccines Vaccin. 6:262.
- Pandit, S.R. 1950. Indian Medical Gazzet: 85,441
- Rosatte RC, Power MJ, Macinnes CD, Campbell JB (1992) Trap-vaccinate release and oral vaccination for rables control in urban skunks, raccoons and foxes. J Wild Dis 28:562–571
- Rupprecht, CE, Gibbons RV. 2004. Clinical practice. Prophylaxis against rables. N Engl J Med. 351(25):2625–2635.
- Sacramento D, Bourtry H, Tordo N. PCR technique as an alternative method for diagnosis and molecular epidemiology of rabies virus. Mol Cell Probes. 1991;5:229
- Steck F, Wandeler A, Bichsel P, Capt S, Häfiger U, Schneider L (1982) Oral immunization of foxes against rables. Laboratory and feld studies. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 5:185–171
- Sudarshan MK, Mahendra BJ, Madhusudana SN, Ashwath Narayana DH, Rahman A, Rao NSN, Mesiin FX, Lobo D, Ravikumar K, Gangaboralah. 2006. An epidemiological study of animal bites in India: results of a WHO sponsored national multi-centric rables survey. J Commun Dis. 38(1):32–39.
- Trimarchi CV, Debbie JG. Standardization and quantitation of immunofluorescence in the rables fluorescent-antibody test.Appl Microbiol. 1972;24:609.

- Wandeler AI, Capt S, Kappeler A, Hauser R (1988) Oral immunization of wildlife against rables: concept and frst feld experiments. Rev Infect Dis 10(Suppl 4): 5849–5853
- Webster WA, Casey GA, Charlton KM. The mouse inoculation test in rabies diagnosis: early diagnosis in mice during the incubation period. Can J Comp Med. 1976;40:322.
- Wilde H, Hemachudha T, Wacharapluesadee S, Lumlerklacha B, Tepsumethanon V. 2013. Rabies in Asia: the classical zoonosis. In: One health: the human-animal-environment interfaces in emerging infectious diseases. Berlin: Springer, p. 185–203.
- Winkler WG, Baer GM (1976) Rables immunization of red foxes (Vulpes fulva) with vaccine in sausage baits. Am J Epidemiol 103:408–415
- + WHO: 2016. Rables Bulletin Europe. Available from: http://www.who-rables-bulletin.org [oted 2 November 2016]
- + WHO (2010) Rables Zoonosis, World Health Organization.
- Wilsmore T, Hamblin C, Taylor N, Taylor W, Watson B (2006). Qualitative Veterinary Risk Assessment of the Introduction of Rables into the United Kingdom. A report prepared for the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA).
- Wyatt J. 2007. Rabies-Update on a global clisease. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 26(4):351–352.
- Zhu S, Guo C. 2016. Rables control and treatment: From prophylaxis to strategies with curative potential. Viruses, 8 (11): E279