Impact of Social Distancing on Curtailing COVID 2019 Epidemic in India: A Systematic Review by SWOT Analysis Approach
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ABSTRACT

The critical analysis of Impact of Social Distancing as a Public Health Measure to curtail India’s Stage 3 of COVID-2019 epidemic carries a crucial importance. Our SWOT analysis reveals that despite being a Good strategy, lack of its strict implementation due to many failures on part of public such as carelessness during many Religious meetings and migrants travel is possibly shifting us into Stage 3 of Community Transmission of epidemic among many states, of India which is a cause a great worry for Indian Community Existence in World.
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Introduction

The COVID 2019 infections, in India till 2nd April 2020 had 1764 active cases, with 15 cured/discharged and 50 deaths. As per few reports of Govt of India and rest of the world, most people around 80% recover from this disease without needing special treatment. Nearly 16.3% people who gets COVID-19 become seriously ill and develops serious difficulty in breathing. Although the risk of catching COVID-19 from someone with no symptoms at all is low. But, many people with COVID-19 experience only mild symptoms. This is particularly true at the early stages of the disease. It is therefore possible to catch COVID-19 infection from patient with a mild cough and does not feel ill.1

Social distancing, is a non-pharmaceutical public health intervention taken to prevent the spread of a contagious disease by maintaining a physical distance of at least 1 meter between people and reducing the number of times people come into close contact with each other.1-4 The principle behind social distancing is to decrease the basic reproduction number, (R0), which is the average number of secondary infected individuals generated from one primary infected individual in a population where all individuals are equally susceptible to a disease.1 In a basic model of social distancing,1-5 where a proportion of the population engage in social distancing to decrease their interpersonal contacts to a fraction of their normal contacts, the new
Role of Physical (Social) Distancing in Flattening Epidemic Curve

As per the CDC Report, many collective protective actions such as Hand hygiene, Social Distancing, and Cleaning Surfaces can limit the spread of COVID-19 infections in community. Social distancing is one of the most important factors by which the COVID-19 outbreak can be controlled. By reducing the probability that a given uninfected person will come into physical contact with an infected person, the disease transmission can be suppressed, resulting in less deaths. The social distancing must be combined with good respiratory hygiene and hand washing and use of face masks to prevent community spread of infection. In the current 2019-2020 coronavirus pandemic, the World Health Organization (WHO) suggested more stress towards adoption of “physical” distancing as an alternative to “social”, in keeping with the notion that it is a physical distance which prevents transmission; people can remain socially connected via technology. So Physical distancing is such an important containment measure.

Material & Methods

The Systematic Review Strategy

PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) is an evidence-based minimum set of items aimed at helping authors to report a wide array of systematic reviews and meta-analyses.

## Table 1. Synthesis of themes from Key studies in systematic-reviewa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of author of study with reference citation</th>
<th>Country of Study</th>
<th>Year of study</th>
<th>Study methodology - study design, sample size etc</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
<th>Implications of studies</th>
<th>Issues synthesized from studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Katie Pearce12</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>John Hopkins University Website Information</td>
<td>Social Distancing</td>
<td>Social distancing slows down the outbreak in order to reduce the chance of infection among high-risk populations and to reduce the burden on health care systems and workers</td>
<td>Individual behavior changes are even more important. Individual actions are humble but powerful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Kiesha Prem et al.13</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Research article</td>
<td>effect of control strategies to reduce social mixing on outcomes of the COVID-19 Epidemic</td>
<td>Non pharmaceutical interventions based on sustained physical distancing have a strong potential to reduce the magnitude of the epidemic peak of COVID-19 and lead to a smaller number of overall cases.</td>
<td>Lowering and flattening of the epidemic peak is particularly important, as this reduces the acute pressure on the health-care system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Adhikari SP et al.14</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Scoping Review</td>
<td>Most of the publications were written using the English language (89.2%). The largest proportion of published articles were related to causes (38.5%) and a majority (67.7%) were published by Chinese scholars</td>
<td>Preventive measures such as masks, hand hygiene practices, avoidance of public contact, case detection, contact tracing, and quarantines have been discussed as ways to reduce transmission.</td>
<td>Infected people primarily rely on symptomatic treatment and supportive care and avoiding public contact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Chen, W et al.15</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Descriptive study</td>
<td>In the early stages of the epidemic, China adopted the containment strategy and implemented a series of core measures around this strategic point</td>
<td>Strengthening case isolation and close contacts tracking management, blocking epidemic areas and traffic control to reduce personnel movements</td>
<td>Increase social distance, environmental measures and personal protection,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Qualls N.16</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Descriptive study</td>
<td>Impact of many Public health interventions</td>
<td>Strict Following of Social Distancing</td>
<td>Social Distancing is Critical.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The 4 key themes which emerged from this systematic review were:

All 4 themes which emerged highlighted the SWOT Analysis of Social Distancing [ Table no :01].

- **Theme 01: Strengths of Social Distancing in Reducing COVID 2019 Epidemic.**
- **Theme 02: Weaknesses in Implementation of Social Distancing.**
- **Theme 03: Opportunities Gained and Lost in Social Distancing.**
- **Theme 04: Threats remaining even after Implementation of Social Distancing.**

**Discussion**

There is presently no treatment for COVID 2019 infection across the world except few suggestive treatments of use of Hydroxy-Chloroquine, Azithromycin and Antiretovirals drugs used in Indian Scenario, but significant results in all patients of COVID 2019 Infections are questionable. The mini review study of Singh T India (2020) has also stressed that treatment of this disease is supportive and prevention strategy must focus on home isolation of suspected cases and those with mild illnesses and strict infection control measures at hospitals that include contact and droplet precautions and adoption of Social Distancing measures for Community.

**Theme 01: Strengths of Social Distancing in Reducing COVID 2019 Epidemic.**

Many studies (Katie Pearce, Kiesha Prem et al., Adhikari SP, Chen, W et al.) have revealed many benefits of Social Distancing in curtailling the epidemic. As per the John Hopkins University Website Information (Pearce K 2020) it appears that although Social distancing although it can reduce the chance of infection among high-risk populations, but individual behaviour changes are more important. Another study by Kiesha Prem et al also revealed that there is a positive effect of control strategies to reduce social mixing on outcomes of the COVID-19 Epidemic.

Another study of Adhikari SP et al. also focuses on many Preventive measures apart from, avoidance of public contact, as ways to reduce transmission and similar opinions were also came out from study of Chen, W et al. where increase social distance was emphasized in the early stages of the epidemic, as China also adopted the same containment strategy.

**Theme 02: Weaknesses in Implementation of Social Distancing.**

The study of Qualls N (2017) reveals that the scale and disruptive impact of many Public health interventions were small compared with the measures that were implemented in China in response to COVID-19, electronic surveillance. The effectiveness and societal impact of quarantine and social distancing will depend on the credibility of public health authorities, political leaders and institutions. It is important that policy makers maintain the public’s trust through use of evidence-based interventions and fully transparent, fact-based communication. The only weakness with this is that the possible high compliance with non-elderly social distancing is needed to contain the peak of Epidemic. Moreover, immediate social distancing, focused on the elderly population (esp >65 years) is also very critical with at least 3-4 week period of complete isolation for the elderly. The longer this period, the more we can delay infections into Community for at least 3 months. The societal impact of quarantine and social distancing actually depends on the evidence-based interventions of the health authorities and policymakers. Though social distancing can do a lot to slow the spread of diseases like Covid-19, it has not yet been able to stop it completely.

In one Indian study (Singh R & Adhikari R 2003) on the impact of social distancing measures - A 3-week lockdown was found insufficient to prevent a resurgence and, instead, protocols of sustained lockdown with periodic relaxation are suggested and at least 49 days Lockdown in India is all that desired. However, under the pessimistic scenario (R0 is 4.9) expected by ICMR, the projected impact in terms of reducing cases would be just 2 per cent, which is the most likely scenario we may achieve and we can reduce the overall number of cases by 62% and the peak number of cases by 89% in India and flatten the curve.

**Theme 03: Opportunities Gained and Lost in Social Distancing.**

According to ICMR, using mathematical modelling, a study of 8 researchers from the Indian Council of Medical Research and Imperial College, London by experts at ICMR has estimated that India may be able to reduce its Covid-19 cases by up to 62 per cent if social distancing and quarantines are strictly observed. Based on this, for their predictions, researchers in ICMR’s study created two scenarios: optimistic scenario (when R0 is 1.5) and pessimistic scenario (when R0 is 4.9). These can be understood as the least risky and most risky scenarios.

**Theme 04: Threats remaining even after Implementation of Social Distancing.**

Social or physical distancing is considered very critical in slowing or reducing the transmission of the virus. Studies reveal that even people without symptoms can spread the virus, some infected individuals who have not shown symptoms can spread the virus and this remains an issue of great concern. Even Modelling studies in China and Japan, and testing of those on the Diamond Princess cruise ship suggest that a small number of people who are infected do not develop symptoms. We don’t know much about how infectious these asymptomatic individuals might be, that is, how likely they are to spread the disease.
As per many media reports in TV Channels and Newspapers in the Month of March 2020 that many religious meetings were held before and during Nationwide LOCKDOWN and sudden stoppage of all factories in India especially Delhi has caused all the Migrant workers to leave via any mode of transport and this has most probably spread the Infection from Delhi to all over India jeopardizing our aim of Social (Physical Distancing). This may become the most probable cause of Spread of Infection from Local Transmission mode to stage 3 Community as appearing from many studies also. 14-21

Conclusion
The societal impact of social distancing depends on the evidence-based interventions of the health authorities and policymakers. Despite the best advantages of Social Distancing and being a very Good strategy, lack of its strict implementation due to many failures on part of public such as carelessness during many Religious meetings and migrants travel and the ineffective following of it by public on seious basis, does not appear to be properly materialized in Indian community even during Nationwide lockdown by Govt of India. This may drift us into the stage 3 of Community Transmission of COVID 2019 Infection in India
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