

Research Article

Patient Level Delay in Diagnosis of Multi-Drug Resistant Tuberculosis among Patients Attending Tertiary Care Hospital in Haryana

<u>RB Jain', Rajesh Gupta², Sandeep Sharma³, Tarun Kumar⁴, Ginni Agrawal⁵, Priyanka Choudhary⁶,</u>

Sarika Yadav⁷, <u>Anand Jain⁸</u>

¹Senior Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Pt. B.D. Sharma PGIMS Rohtak, Rohtak, Haryana, India. ²Professor, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Pt. B.D. Sharma PGIMS Rohtak, Rohtak, Haryana, India.

^{3,4,5,7}Junior Resident, ⁶Senior Resident, Department of Community Medicine, Pt. B.D. Sharma PGIMS Rohtak, Rohtak, Haryana, India.

⁸Junior Resident, Department of Medicine, Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical Collage & Research Centre, Moradabad, U.P., India. **DOI:** https://doi.org/10.24321/2455.7048.201918

INFO

Corresponding Author:

Sandeep Sharma, Department of Community Medicine, Pt. B.D. Sharma PGIMS Rohtak, Rohtak, Haryana, India.

E-mail Id: sandeep4gkg@gmail.com

Orcid Id:

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7683-4253 How to cite this article: Jain RB, Gupta R, Sharma S, Kumar T, Agrawal

G, Choudhary P et al. Patient Level Delay in Diagnosis of Multi-Drug Resistant Tuberculosis among Patients Attending Tertiary Care Hospital in Haryana. *Epidem Int* 2019; 4(4): 8-15.

Date of Submission: 2019-12-10 Date of Acceptance: 2020-01-22

ABSTRACT

Background: Multi-Drug Resistant Tuberculosis (MDR-TB) besides increasing cost and duration of treatment also increases the mortality and morbidity. Less awareness in the community and diagnostic constraints for MDR-TB at patient level is a major cause of delay in diagnosis.

Objective: To find out the delay in diagnosis of MDR-TB and factors affecting this delay among MDR-TB patients attending tertiary care hospital, PGIMS Rohtak, Haryana, India.

Material and Methods: It is a hospital based cross-sectional study carried out in the department of Respiratory Medicine, PGIMS Rohtak, Haryana from March 2018 to February 2019 among 125 admitted patients of MDR-TB in tertiary care hospital, PGIMS Rohtak. A pre-designed, pretested, semi-structured interview schedule was used and data were analysed using SPSS version 20.0 and Chi square test was applied.

Result: The mean and median patient delay was found to be 31.11 days and 21 days, respectively. Extent of patient delay was significantly associated with socio-demographic factors like age, sex, literacy status, occupation, addiction and past history of TB in patients.

Conclusion: In this study, it was concluded that there was significant delay in the diagnosis as well as seeking treatment at patient level which was associated with socio-demographic factors. Thus, there is urgent need to boost up the Information, Education and Communication (IEC) activities to increase awareness among general public about the importance of early diagnosis and treatment of MDR-TB.

Keywords: Patient Level Delay, MDR-TB, Tertiary Care Hospital



Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is a contagious, airborne infection caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb). Globally 5% to 10% of people infected with Mtb develop the disease during their lifetime and estimated TB incidence in 2018 was 10 million (9-11 million). India accounted for 27% of global burden, that is, 2.69 million cases. Overall, about 90% of cases occur among adults, with proportionately more cases in men than women. The male: female ratio of pulmonary TB among adults is approximately 2:1.¹

In most cases, TB is treatable and curable; however, persons with TB may die if they do not get proper treatment.² When the Mycobacterium causing TB is resistant to both the frontline anti-tubercular drugs (i.e., Rifampicin and Isoniazid), it is labelled as Multi-Drug-Resistant TB (MDR-TB). Of all TB patients, the incidence of MDR-TB is 3.4% among new cases and 18% among previously treated cases. Globally in 2018, 484,000 people developed MDR/ RR-TB, out of which 50% cases were from three countries-India (27%), China (14%) and Russian Federation (9%).¹ Development of multi-drug resistance in TB makes management of TB even more difficult because of the reasons like poor cure rate (56%), misdiagnosis of MDR-TB as nonresistant TB, longer duration of treatment and more side-effects.¹ The first line anti-TB drugs are in use since the inception of TB Control Program and now the most probable cause for the increasing prevalence of MDR-TB is because of development of resistance to these drugs. This is evident from the fact that resistance to at least one anti-TB drug has been reported from all countries.³

Globally estimated incidence of MDR was 4,84,000 but out of these cases, only 1,86,772 were notified in 2018. Out of total notified cases, only 1,56,071 were enrolled for treatment which was 32% of total estimated incidence. This showed a huge gap between incidence and treatment initiation.

Closing this wide gap requires timely detection of TB cases and increasing the proportion of TB cases bacteriologically confirmed, coverage of testing for drug resistance among bacteriologically confirmed cases and coverage of treatment for those diagnosed with MDR/RR-TB.¹

It has been observed that among the reasons for failure of control of tuberculosis, delay in diagnosis and timely initiation of MDR-TB treatment are most important factors, which also reflects quality of implementation of the program. Two important factors have been highlighted for this delay, one on the part of patients in reporting to health facility and second on the part of the health facility that even after reporting of the patient, detection of the disease and its management is considerably delayed.⁵ If delay in diagnosis and management is minimised, it will be helpful in decreasing the burden of MDR-TB cases and mortality associated with it without any extra input. So, the present study was planned to find out the extent of patient level delay in diagnosis of MDR-TB among patients attending tertiary care hospital, PGIMS Rohtak, and to study the factors affecting this delay in diagnosis of MDR-TB.

Materials and Methods

It was hospital based cross-sectional study carried out at DOTS plus site under Programmatic Management of Drug Resistant TB (PMDT) in the Department of Respiratory Medicine, PGIMS, Rohtak and Haryana, India.

The study was conducted for a period of one year from March 2018 to Feb 2019 in the department of respiratory medicine. The inclusion criteria were pulmonary MDR-TB diagnosed patients, more than 18 years, belonging to different sex, caste, literacy, occupation, economic status and place of living.

For the purpose of this study, 125 patients, that is, 20% of the expected number of newly diagnosed cases in 2018 (614) were selected. Investigator visited Respiratory Medicine Department twice a week on Tuesday and Friday. On the days of visit, newly detected pulmonary MDR-TB patients admitted in the ward a day before, who met the study criteria and were willing to participate, were enrolled in the present study.

Ethical approval was obtained by the ethical committee of the institution PGIMS Rohtak, before the commencement of the study. An informed written consent was obtained from every patient selected for the study. Patients with psychiatric illness who were unable to cooperate and patients with serious illness or having co-morbidities like cardiac, hepatic, renal or nervous system disease were excluded from the study.

Data were collected by interview technique (Annexure 1) by administering pre-designed, pre-tested, semi structured schedule by the investigator himself. The data so collected were compiled, tabulated & analysed applying suitable statistical tests (i.e., chi square test) using SPSS version 20.0 software.

Operational Definition

Patient level delay: Time between onset of symptoms till reaching at health facility or health provider. The delay was suspected if the time duration was more than consecutive 14 days in case of MDR suspect (symptomatic contact of diagnosed sputum +ve MDR-TB patient or treatment failure and defaulter cases).

Result

In the present study, out of 125 patients, 116 (92.8%) had

delay in consulting healthcare provider (govt. or private). The mean and median patient level delay was found to be 31.11 days and 21 days (Range 1-176 days).

The proportion of patient having delay in diagnosis was highest 43 (34.4%) in age group of 31 to 40 year and among this age group 19 (44.2%) had delay of 31 to 60 days. Overall maximum number of patients 51 (40.8%) had patient level delay in diagnosis of 31 to 60 days.

Out of 76 male participants, 67 (88.15%) had delay in seeking care for diagnosis of TB/ MDR-TB after appearance of symptoms. All 49 (100%) females had some delay for seeking care regarding diagnosis of TB/ MDR-TB after appearance of symptoms.

Majority (58.4%) of the patients were literate up to matriculation or above and among them, 30 (41.1%) had delay of 15 to 30 days. Among the illiterates, 15 (60%) patients had delay of 31 to 60 days (Shown in Table 1).

According to occupation, higher proportion (44.8%) of patients were from labourer class and, in this class, majority (62.5%) of patients had delay of more than 30 days. Similar pattern was found among housewives. Delay of 181 to 365 days was found only in farmer class. Two (2.5%) patients from rural background were having delay of more than 180 days but it was not found to be statistically significant (Table 2).

Majority of the patients were addicted to either smoking or alcohol consumption or both and they were having delay of more than 30 days in seeking healthcare services. Patient level delay of more than 120 days was more among primary MDR-TB patients as compared to patients with past history of TB. More than three-fourth patients with past history of TB had 15 to 60 days delay when compared to primary MDR-TB patients, whereas, 40.7% patients had delay of more than 60 days. The proportional distribution of patients as per past history of TB was found to be statistically significant (Table 3).

Out of total 125 patients, 9 had no delay and, among the remaining, 116 patients had delay in diagnosis at the patient level. The reason for delay was: 41 (35.3%) patients had delay in consulting healthcare due to lack of awareness of TB. Other reasons contributing to the patient delay were stigma and discrimination in society among 39 (33.6%) while 36 (31.1%) of patients had delay because of the ignorance of family members about the need to take patient to hospital (Table 4).

Table 1.Extent of delay according to age, sex and education level of MDR-TB patients

(n=125)									
Charac- teristic		<14 Days n (%)	15-30 Days n (%)	31-60 Days n (%)	61-120 Days n (%)	121-180 days n (%)	181-365 days n (%)	Total n (100%)	
	<20	0 (0)	5 (55.6)	1 (11.1)	0 (0)	3 (33.3)	0 (0)	9	
	21-30	0 (0)	9 (23.1)	20 (51.3)	10 (25.6)	0 (0)	0 (0)	39	
Age	31-40	2 (4.7)	17 (39.5)	19 (44.2)	3 (7)	2 (4.7)	0 (0)	43	χ2=68.389 df=25 p=.001
Groups	41-50	6 (24)	8 (32)	4 (16)	5 (20)	0 (0)	2 (8)	25	
	51-60	0 (0)	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3	
	>60	1 (16.7)	0 (0)	5 (83.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	6	
	Male	9 (11.8)	24 (31.6)	33 (43.4)	8 (10.5)	0 (0.0)	2 (2.6)	76 (100)	χ2=17.205
Sex	Female	0 (0.0)	24 (31.6)	18 (36.7)	10 (20.4)	5 (10.2)	0 (0)	49 (100)	df=5 p=.004
	Illiterate	2 (8)	3 (12)	15 (60)	3 (12)	0 (0)	2 (8)	25	
Education	primary/ middle	5 (18.5)	7 (25.9)	12 (44.4)	3 (11.1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	27	χ2=30.473
Education	Matric/ 10+2	2 (3.4)	23 (39.7)	19 (32.8)	9 (15.5)	5 (8.6)	0 (0)	58	p=.010
	Graduate	0 (0)	7 (46.7)	5 (33.3)	3 (20)	0 (0)	0 (0)	15	
Total		9 (7.2)	40 (32)	51 (40.8)	18 (14.4)	5 (4)	2 (1.6)	125	

(n=125)

				Patient Lev	vel Delay				
Charac- teristic		<14 Days n (%)	15-30 Days n (%)	31-60 Days n (%)	61-120 Days n (%)	121-180 days n (%)	181-365 days n (%)	Total n (100%)	
	Labor	6 (10.7)	15 (26.8)	26 (46.4)	6 (10.7)	3 (5.4)	0 (0)	56	
	Private Job	1 (5.9%)	8 (47.1)	6 (35.3)	2 (11.8)	0 (0)	0 (0)	17	χ2=36.366 df=20 p=.014
Occupation	House Wife	0 (0)	9 (26.5)	15 (44.1)	8 (23.5)	2 (5.9)	0 (0)	34	
	Student	0 (0)	4 (66.7)	2 (33.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	6	
	Farmer	2 (16.7)	4 (33.3)	2 (16.7)	2 (16.7)	0 (0)	2 (16.7)	12	
Place of	Rural	6 (7.6)	25 (31.6)	32 (40.5)	12 (15.2)	2 (2.5)	2 (2.5)	79	χ2=2.474
Living	Urban	3 (6.5)	15 (32.6)	19 (41.3)	6 (13)	3 (6.5)	0	46	df=5 p=.780
	<10Km n (%)	7 (6.3)	37 (33)	47 (42)	16 (14.3)	3 (2.7)	2 (1.8)	112	χ2=7.012
Distance	>10Km n (%)	2 (15.4)	3 (23.1)	4 (30.8)	2 (15.4)	2 (15.4)	0 (0)	13	df=5 p=.220
Total		9 (7.2)	40 (32)	51 (40.8)	18 (14.4)	5 (4)	2 (1.6)	125	

Table 2 Association of extent of delay in MDR-TB with Socio-demographic factors

Table 3.Association of extent of delay in MDR-TB with Addiction and Past history of TB

(n=125)

Charac-		<14	15-30	31-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	Total							
teristic		Days n (%)	Days n (%)	Days n (%)	Days n (%)	days n (%)	days n (%)	n (100%)							
	Alcohol	6 (16.7)	11 (30.6)	16 (44.4)	2 (5.6)	0 (0)	1 (2.8)	36	χ2=30.717 df=15 p=.010						
	Smoking	0 (0)	3 (27.3)	3 (27.3)	5 (45.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	11							
Addiction	Both	3 (12)	7 (28)	12 (48)	2 (8)	0 (0)	1 (4)	25							
	None	0 (0)	19 (35.8)	20 (37.7)	9 (17)	5 (9.4)	0 (0)	53							
Past	Yes	8 (8.2)	33 (33.7)	43 (43.9)	12 (12.2)	0 (0)	2 (2)	98	χ2=22.197						
history of TB	No	1 (3.7)	7 (25.9)	8 (29.6)	6 (22.2)	5 (18.5)	0 (0)	27	df=5 p=.010						
Total		9 (7.2)	40 (32)	51 (40.8)	18 (14.4)	5 (4)	2 (1.6)	125							
Addiction Past history of TB To	Both None Yes No	3 (12) 0 (0) 8 (8.2) 1 (3.7) 9 (7.2)	7 (28) 19 (35.8) 33 (33.7) 7 (25.9) 40 (32)	3 (27.3) 12 (48) 20 (37.7) 43 (43.9) 8 (29.6) 51 (40.8)	2 (8) 9 (17) 12 (12.2) 6 (22.2) 18 (14.4)	0 (0) 5 (9.4) 0 (0) 5 (18.5) 5 (4)	1 (4) 0 (0) 2 (2) 0 (0) 2 (1.6)	25 53 98 27 125	df=1 p=.0 χ2=22 df= p=.0						

Table 4.Reasons for patient delay in consulting healthcare provider

(n	=1	16)

		(11 ±±0)
Reasons	Frequency	Percent
Lack of awareness about Disease	41	35.3
Stigma and Discrimination	39	33.6
Ignorance of Family member to take patient to hospital	36	31.1
Total	116	100

Discussion

In present study, it was observed that overall 116 (92.8%) MDR-TB patients had delay in consulting healthcare facility after being a suspected case of MDR-TB. The mean and median patient delay was found to be 31.11 days and 21 days, respectively.

The patient level delay considered in the present study was different from patient level delay considered in other

studies. Few researchers have considered patient level delay from day one of appearing of symptoms. In a study by Li Y et al., mean delay was 38.5 days and median delay was 12.5 days, considering patient level delay from day one of appearing of symptoms. Taking the criteria of present study, mean delay in their study would be equivalent to 24.5 days.⁶ In this study by Li Y et al. delay was counted from the day of appearance of symptoms i.e. cough. In present study delay was counted after consecutive 14 days of appearance of symptoms of MDR-TB.

A few other studies have used the term diagnostic delay. These researchers had merged the patient level delay and peripheral level delay of the present study and considered it as diagnostic delay. The value of mean diagnostic delay in our study was 137 days.⁷ Narasimooloo R et. al.,⁸ Zhang et al.,⁹ were 9.78 days, 9 days, 12.4 weeks and 110 days, respectively.

In a study done by Rifat et al. in 207 MDR-TB patients, diagnostic delay (time from date of diagnostic sample provided to date of result and median delay) was 5 days. This was equivalent to tertiary care level delay in present study. This difference might be due to selection of the type of study subjects and the type of tertiary care health facilities.¹⁰

In present study, 41 (35.3%) patients had delay in seeking healthcare due to lack of awareness of TB. Other reasons contributing to the patient delay were stigma and discrimination in 39 (33.6%), family member ignorance to take the patient to healthcare facility in 36 (31.1%). Extent of patient delay was significantly associated with socio-demographic factors like age, sex, literacy status, occupation, addiction, patients having past history of TB but not with caste, monthly family income, place of living, distance of home from nearest healthcare facility, treatment taken from private practitioners.

Conclusion & Recommendation

Extent of patient delay was significantly associated with socio-demographic factors like age, sex, literacy status, occupation, addiction, patients having past history of TB but not with caste, monthly family income, place of living, distance of home from nearest healthcare facility, treatment taken from private practitioner. The mean and median patient delay was found to be 31.11 days and 21 days, respectively.

As per our findings, following interventions can be taken to decrease the extent of delay at patient level:

 There is urgent need to scale up the Information, Education and Communication (IEC) activities for the general public to increase the awareness regarding MDR-TB and to decrease the delay on the part of patients in consulting healthcare provider for diagnosis of MDR-TB at the earliest.

 This can be done by regular and repeated campaigns over mass media like Internet, local cable TV networks, newspapers, wall writing and hoardings about the identification of the disease. The contribution of the community with public health facilities and general public with private healthcare providers should also be highlighted for the initiatives taken regarding community participation in this regard.

Conflict of Interest: None

References

- World Health Organization. Global TB Report 2019. World Health Organization. 2019; 1–277. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/hand le/10665/329368/9789241565714-eng.pdf?ua=1.
- Centre for disease control and prevention. TB Elimination (Multidrug-Resistant TB (MDR TB)). Atlanta. Centre for disease control and prevention. 2012; 1-2.
- World Health Organization. Multidrug-Resistance TB (MDR-TB): 2017 Update. Geneva. World Health Organization; 2017. Available from: https://www.who. int/tb/challenges/mdr/MDR-RR_TB_factsheet_2017. pdf.
- 4. Alavi SM, Bakhtiyariniya P, Albagi A. Factors associated with delay in diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis. *Jundishapur J Microbiol* 2015; 8(3): 8-11. [PubMed/ Google Scholar].
- Li Y, Ehiri J, Tang S, Li D, Bian Y, Lin H et al. Factors associated with patient, and diagnostic delays in Chinese TB patients: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *BMC Med* 2013; 11(1): Article number: 156. Available from: https://bmcmedicine.biomedcentral. com/articles/10.1186/1741-7015-11-156 [PubMed/ Google Scholar].
- Li Y, Ehiri J, OrenE, HuD, Luo X, Liu Y etal. Are we doing enough to stem the tide of acquired MDR-TB in countries with high TB burden? Results of a mixed method study in chongqing, china. *PLoS One* 2014; 9(2): e88330. Available from: https://journals.plos. org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0088330 [DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0088330/ PubMed/ Google Scholar].
- Htun YM, Khaing TMM, Yin Y, Myint Z, Aung ST, Hlaing TM et al. Delay in diagnosis and treatment among adult multidrug resistant TB patients in Yangon Regional TB Center, Myanmar: A cross-sectional study. BMC Health Serv Res 2018; 18(1): 878. Available from: https://bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com/ articles/10.1186/s12913-018-3715-4 [PubMed/ Google Scholar].
- 8. Narasimooloo R, Ross A. Delay in commencing treatment for MDR TB at a specialized TB treatment

center in KwaZulu-Natal. *S Afr Med J* 2012; 102(6): 360-362. Available from: http://www.samj.org.za/ index.php/samj/article/view/5361/4127 [PubMed/ Google Scholar].

- Zhang X, Yin J, Li H, Li S, Walley J, Zou G et al. Diagnostic and treatment delays of multidrug-resistant TB before initiating treatment: a cross-sectional study. *Trop Med Int Heal* 2015; 20(11): 1431-1437. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/ tmi.12566 [PubMed/ Google Scholar].
- Rifat M, Hall J, Oldmeadow C, Husain A, Milton AH. Health system delay in treatment of multidrug resistant TB patients in Bangladesh. *BMC Infect Dis* [Internet] 2015 [cited 2019 April 25]; 15(1): 526. Available from: https://bmcinfectdis.biomedcentral. com/articles/10.1186/s12879-015-1253-9 [PubMed/ Google Scholar].

Annexure I

Questionnaire for finding out for Delay in Diagnosis of MDR TB Patients Attending Tertiary Care Hospitals in Haryana

B. Identification Data

1.	Name:								
2.	S/o/D/o/W/o:								
3.	Age: (1) <20	(2) 21-30	(3)31-40	(4) 41-50	C	(5) 51-6	0	(6)>61	
4.	Sex: (1) M	(2) F							
5.	Caste/ Religion: (1) General	(2) OBC	(3) SC		(4) Mus	lim		
6.	Family Income/ N	/lonth: (1)0-10	(2) 10-50	(3) >50					
7.	Literacy: (1) Illitera	ate (2) Pri	mary/ Middle	(3) Matr	ic/ 10+2		(4)gradu	uate	
	(5) Postgraduate								
8.	Occupation: (1) La	abour (2) Go	vt. Employee	(3) Priva	te. Job		(4)Hous	e Wife	
	(5) Student	(6) Farmer							
9.	Marital Status: (1)	Married	(2) Unmarried		(3) Wido	w		(4) Divo	rce
10.	Type of family: (1)	Nuclear	(2) Joint						
11.	Address with Cont	tact No							
12.	Place of living: (1)	Rural							
	(2) Urban								
13.	Residing under wh	nich: (1) PHC	(2) CHC	(3) DH					
14.	. Distance of nearest health care facility from home: 1) <10km 2) >10km								
В.	Knowledge about	Tuberculosis							
15.	Have you heard al	bout TB? (1) Yes			(2) No				
16.	If yes have you he	ard of TB before y	our treatment sta	rted? (1) \	/es		(2) No		
17.	What are signs an (4) Weight Loss	d symptoms of TB (5) He	? (1) Cough >2wks moptysis	s (6) Loss	(2) Even of Appet	ing rise o ite	of Temp	(7) Nigł	(3) Dyspnoea nt Sweats
18.	Have you heard al	bout MDR TB? (1)	Yes		(2) No				
19.	Do you know diffe	erence between TE	3 and MDR-TB? (1)) Yes			(2) No		
20.	How does this dise (5)Clothes Sharing	ease spread? (1) C g	ough (2) Tou	ıch	(3) Smo	king	(4) Eati	ng Togetl	her
21.	Do you know MDF	R-TB patient sprea	ds MDR-TB? (1) Ye	es			(2) No		
22.	What is the cause (4) Virus	of TB? (1) Cough (5) Bacteria	(2) Smo	oking		(3) Relig	gious		
23.	What should be d	one when one is a	ffected with TB? (1) Traditio	onal Heal	er	(2) Doo	ctor	(3) RMP
	(4) Home Remedie	es							
24.	What you know al (3) can be cured	bout severity of T	3? (1)Highly Infect (4) don't Know	ious		(2) Can	cause De	eath	
25.	How much you tru	ust this treatment	being given to you	u: (1) Fully	,	(2) Parti	al	(3) Don'	t Know
26.	Do you know how (3) Blood Examina	vit is diagnosed?(ation	1) Sputum Examir (4) Don't Know	nation		(2) X-Ra	У		

27.	Do you know about	CB-NAAT test? (1) Yes	(2) No				
28.	Source of the inform (4) Newspaper	ation: (1) Family Member (5) Tv/ Radio	r	(2) Friend (6) Books	(3) Health Worker		
С.	History of Mdr-Tb ar	nd Related Illness					
29.	History of contact w	ith other known cases of ⁻	TB? (1) Ye	es	(2) No		
30.	Have you come acro	ss any case of known MD	R-TB pati	ent? (1) Yes	(2) No		
31.	Have you infected w	ith TB previously also: (1)	Yes		(2) No		
32.	lf yes, when did you (3) 2-5yrs	have the symptoms of TB (4) >5yrs	for the f (5) NA	irst time? (1) <1y	vr back	(2) 1-2Yrs	
33.	Have you taken treat	tment for TB before? (1) Y	/es	(2) No		(3) NA	
34.	Have you completed	the full course of treatm	ent: (1) Y	es (2) No		(3) NA	
35.	 5. If NO, what was reason of not completing treatment? (1) Felt disease was cured? (2) hanged to Ayurvedic/ Other Medicine (3) You changed your residence (4) Shortage of drugs at centre (5) Side effects were more (6) Any other 						
36.	History of intake of:	(1) Smoking	(2) Alco	hol	(3)Both	(4) None	
37.	Do you know your H	IV status: (1) +vet	(2) -vet		(3) Don't Know		
38.	Do you have Diabete	es? (1) Yes	(2) No				
39.	Do you have some o	ther illness/Mental Illness	s: (1) Yes		(2) No		
40.	Do you have any hist	ory of seizures? (1) Yes			(2) No		
Pat	ient Level Delay						
41.	When you develope	d symptoms of MDR-TB fc	or this tim	ne?			
42.	Did you take any trea	atment at home personal	ly? (1) Ye	S	(2) No		
43.	Where did you consult for the symptoms of TB this time? (1) Government(2). Pvt. Medical shops(3) Traditional(4) Other						
44.	How long you took t (3) 1 Month (7) 5 Months (11) 9 Months	reatment for the symptor (4) 2 Months (8) 6 Months (12) 10 Months	ns of TB (5) 3 Ma (9) 7 Ma (13) 11	this time? (1) <2\ onths onths Months	wks (6) 4 Months (10) 8 Months (14) 12 Months	(2) >2wks	
45.	What were the rease (1) Lack of awarenes	ons of delay in seeking he is about Disease	alth care	facility?			

(2) Stigma and Discrimination

15

(3) Ignorance of Family member to take patient to hospital